# VALEO IIII

# LATERAL LUMBAR INTERBODY FUSION DEVICES In the race to achieve interbody fusion, material matters. And no material fosters an environment for faster fusion like silicon

Optimal material density enables radiotranslucent and reduced artifact imaging

Nanotopography enhances osteoblast response,

outperforms PEEK and titanium.

initiating faster fusion

no material fosters an environment for faster fusion like silicon nitride. Featuring the ability to achieve superior new bone growth and osseointegration, along with proven bacteriostatic properties and enhanced imaging attributes, silicon nitride

Surface chemistry generates bacteriostatic properties

## IMPLANT FOOTPRINTS AND SIZES

## **FOOTPRINTS:**

18x45mm [6°

19,55mm [69

22x40mm l6°

22x45mm l6°

22×50mm [6°

22x55mm [6°

22×60mm [6°

## **HEIGHTS**:

8-16mm, 2mm increments



## EAL BIOMATERIAL

properties and enhanced imaging capabilities, silicon nitride is the ideal biomaterial.

Compared to PEEK and titanium, CTL Amedica's silicon nitride demonstrates greater new bone formation<sup>1</sup> and has an innate nanotopography and surface chemistry that provides an optimal environment for bone growth. The surface chemistry initiates bone growth, while the instrinsic nanotopography increases surface area. This combination of initiating bone growh with increased surface area enhances osteoblast response, accelerating the fusion process.

## **Enhanced Imaging Capabilities**

Silicon nitride implants are radiotranslucent with visible boundaries and produce no artifact under CT or MRI; this enables an exact view of the implant for precise intraoperative placement and post-operative fusion assessment.

## **Proven Bacteriostatic Properties**

The negative surface charge of silicon nitride repels bacteria and prevents biofilm formation<sup>2</sup>, reducing the chance of infection. The hydrophilic surface creates a molecular water barrier preventing the adhesion of bacteria.

- orth DJ, Puckett S, Ercan B, et al. Decreased bacteria activity on Si, N, surfaces compared with PEEK or titanium. Int J Nanomedicine. 2012;7:4829-4840.





